Title: Uptake and performance of blood-based self-testing versus oral fluid-based self-testing in Blantyre district, Malawi.

Authors: Moses Kumwenda, Pitchaya Indravud, Cheryl Johnson, George Sinjani, Augustine Choko, Spencer Nundwe, Paul Mee, Rebecca Nzawa, Rose Nyirenda, James Kandulu, Elizabeth L Corbett, Karin Hatzold.

Affiliations
1. Malawi-Liverpool Wellcome Trust, Blantyre, Malawi
2. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK
3. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
4. Ministry of Health, Lilongwe, Malawi
5. Population Services International, Johannesburg, South Africa

Key words: Self-test, blood-based, oral-fluid, Malawi

Abstract (346)

Background: HIV self-testing (HIVST) can use either blood-based (BB) or oral fluid (OF) tests. Previous studies have shown strong preferences for self-testing compared to facility-based services. To date, HIVST has largely used OF self-tests, but with quality-assured BB kits now available, we investigated uptake and performance of OF and BB self-tests in Malawi.

Methods: Individuals (age ≥16) with unknown HIV status seeking HIV testing were enrolled from primary care facilities in Blantyre district. Participants were offered HIV testing through provider-delivered testing at a facility or HIVST using OF self-test (OraQuick HIV Self-Test) or BB self-test (INSTI HIV Self-Test). Those opting for HIVST received the kit of their choice and a brief pre-test demonstration (INSTI ~5-8 minutes, OraQuick ~2 mins). Following home HIVST, self-testers returned to report their result and receive confirmatory testing using the national testing algorithm (Determine HIV-1/2, Uni-Gold). Sensitivity and specificity was calculated using the national algorithm as the reference standard.

Results: 713 participants were enrolled in this study. Given the choice, 98.6% (703/713) participants selected HIVST over provider-delivered testing. Of 703 opting for HIVST, 278 (39.5%) chose INSTI, 425 (60.5%) chose OraQuick, and 97.4% returned to report their results. Preference for OraQuick among self-testers was more marked in rural (227/350, 64.9%) than urban (199/353, 56.4%) participants, p=0.021.

Among 275 reporting INSTI results, 12 (4.4%) were HIV-positive. INSTI had sensitivity of 100% (95%CI: 75.3-100%) and specificity of 99.6% (95%CI: 97.8-100%), with 15 (5.5%) invalid results. Among 410 reporting OraQuick results 13 (3.2%) were HIV-positive. OraQuick had
sensitivity of 100% (95%CI: 75.3-100%) and specificity of 100% (95%CI: 99.1-100%), with no invalid results.

HIVST sensitivity and specificity did not significantly differ by site, however invalids with INSTI (rural: 9/123, 7.3% vs urban: 6/154, 3.9%) were more common in rural participants.

**Conclusion:** Offering both BB and OF self-tests resulted in high uptake compared with provider-delivered testing. Both self-tests achieved a high degree of accuracy in the hands of rural and urban users provided with a pre-test demonstration before HIVST at home. More work is needed to reduce the rate of invalid results with BB self-tests.